

Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebra and modules of differential operators on a smooth manifold

P.B.A. Lecomte ^{*}

V.Yu. Ovsienko [†]

Abstract

Let M be a smooth manifold, $\mathcal{S}$ the space of polynomial on fibers functions on T^*M (i.e., of symmetric contravariant tensor fields). We compute the first cohomology space of the Lie algebra, $\text{Vect}(M)$, of vector fields on M with coefficients in the space of linear differential operators on $\mathcal{S}$. This cohomology space is closely related to the $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules, $\mathcal{D}_\lambda(M)$, of linear differential operators on the space of tensor densities on M of degree λ .

1 Introduction and the Main Theorem

Let M be a smooth manifold and $\text{Vect}(M)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on M .

The main purpose of this article is to study the cohomology of $\text{Vect}(M)$ with coefficients in the space of linear differential operators acting on tensor fields. This cohomology is, actually, a natural generalization of the Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology (i.e., of $\text{Vect}(M)$ -cohomology with coefficients in the modules of tensor fields on M).

The problem of computation of such cohomology spaces naturally arises if one considers *deformations* of the $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module structure on the space of tensor fields.

The general theory of deformations of Lie algebra modules is due to Nijenhuis and Richardson [13, 15]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and V a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then the problem of deformation of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V is related to the cohomology spaces: $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \text{End}(V))$. More precisely, the first cohomology space classifies *infinitesimal* deformation, while the second one contains the obstructions to integrability of a given infinitesimal deformation.

The origin of our investigation is related to the space of scalar linear differential operators on M viewed as a module over $\text{Vect}(M)$. It is quite clear a-priori that this module should be considered as a deformation of the corresponding module of *symbols* (i.e., of polynomial on fibers functions on T^*M). We are, therefore, led to study the first cohomology of $\text{Vect}(M)$ with coefficients in the $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module of operators on the space of symbols.

^{*}Institute de Mathématiques, Université de Liège, Sart Tilman, Grande Traverse, 12 (B 37), B-4000 Liège, BELGIUM, <mailto:plecomte@ulg.ac.be>

[†]C.N.R.S., Centre de Physique Théorique, Luminy – Case 907, F-13288 Marseille, Cedex 9, FRANCE, <mailto:ovsienko@cpt.univ-mrs.fr>

1.1 Differential operators on symmetric contravariant tensor fields

Consider the space, $\mathcal{S}(M)$ (or $\mathcal{S}$ for short), of symmetric contravariant tensor fields on M (i.e., $\mathcal{S} = \Gamma(STM)$). As a $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module it is isomorphic to the space of smooth functions on T^*M polynomial on the fibers. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}$ is a Poisson algebra with a natural graduation given by the decomposition

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_k$ is the space of k -th order tensor fields. Obviously, $\mathcal{S}_0$ is isomorphic to $C^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ to $\text{Vect}(M)$. The Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{S}$ is usually called the (symmetric) Schouten bracket (see e.g. [7]).

The action of $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ is given by the Hamiltonian vector field

$$L_X = \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad (1.2)$$

where (x, ξ) are local coordinates on T^*M (we identified X with the first-order polynomial $X = X^i \xi_i$; the summation over repeated indices is understood).

Let us introduce the space, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$, of all linear differential operators on $\mathcal{S}$. This space is a $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module with a filtration

$$\mathcal{D}^0(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{S}) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{D}^r(\mathcal{S}) \subset \cdots, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^r(\mathcal{S})$ is the space of r -th order differential operators.

In this article we compute the first cohomology space

$$H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})). \quad (1.4)$$

of $\text{Vect}(M)$ acting on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$.

Note that for $M = S^1$ this computation has been done in [11, 1] see also [6] for the case of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$.

1.2 Modules of differential operators on tensor densities

Let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(M)$ (or $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ in short) be the space of tensor densities of degree λ on M (i.e. the space of sections of the line bundle $\Delta_\lambda(M) = |\Lambda^n T^*M|^{\otimes \lambda}$ over M). Clearly, $\mathcal{F}_0 \cong C^\infty(M)$ as a $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module, any two $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules of tensor densities are non-isomorphic (see also [7]).

Denote $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ of linear differential operators on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$. This space is an associative (and, therefore, a Lie) algebra with the filtration by the order of differentiation:

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^0 \subset \mathcal{D}_\lambda^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{D}_\lambda^k \subset \cdots \quad (1.5)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{S}$ is naturally identified with the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(\mathcal{D}_\lambda)$ that is,

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^k / \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k-1} \cong \mathcal{S}_k. \quad (1.6)$$

The corresponding projection $\sigma_k : \mathcal{D}_\lambda^k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k$ is called the (principal) *symbol*.

The associative algebra $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ can be naturally interpreted as a non-trivial deformation of $\mathcal{S}$ and constitutes one of the main objects considered in *deformation quantization*.

We will be interested, however, only in the $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ rather than in the whole associative (or Lie algebra) structure. The (tautological) Lie algebra embedding $\text{Vect}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda$

$$X \mapsto L_X^\lambda, \quad (1.7)$$

where L_X^λ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, defines a $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$.

Remark 1.1. If M is oriented by a volume form Ω , then

$$L_X^\lambda = L_X + \lambda \text{div}_\Omega X. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ are isomorphic associative algebras. However, as $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules they are isomorphic if and only if $\lambda + \mu = 1$ [4, 10].

1.3 The Main Theorem

The space $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$ is decomposed, as a $\text{Vect}(M)$ -module, into the direct sum:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}) = \bigoplus_{k,\ell} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell) \subset \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$. It would then suffice to compute the cohomology (1.4) with coefficients in each of these modules. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.2. *If $\dim M \geq 2$, then*

$$H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , \text{ if } k - \ell = 2 \\ \mathbb{R} & , \text{ if } k - \ell = 1, \ell \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{R} \oplus H_{\text{DR}}^1(M) & , \text{ if } k - \ell = 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $H_{\text{DR}}^1(M)$ is the first space of the de Rham cohomology of M .

The proof will be given in Section 4.

From now on we assume that $\dim M \geq 2$.

1.4 Differentiability

As a first step towards the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will prove now that any 1-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(M)$ with values in the space of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ is locally differentiable. Due to the well-known Peetre Theorem [14], this means that for any $\gamma \in Z^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell))$, the bilinear map $(X, P) \mapsto \gamma(X)(P)$, where $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ and $P \in \mathcal{S}_k$, is local:

$$\text{Supp } \gamma(X)(P) \subset \text{Supp } X \cap \text{Supp } P \quad (1.11)$$

Proposition 1.3. *Any 1-cocycle γ on $\text{Vect}(M)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ is local.*

Proof. Let $U \subset M$ be open and $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ vanish on U . We have to show that $\gamma(X)|_U = 0$. Let x_0 be any point in U . As well-known, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x_0 and vector fields X_i, X'_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ on V such that

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} [X_i, X'_i]$$

and

$$X_{i|V} = X'_{i|V} = 0,$$

where r depends only on the dimension of M . One has, using the fact that γ is a 1-cocycle

$$\gamma(X)|_V = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(L_{X_i} \gamma(X'_i)|_V - L_{X'_i} \gamma(X_i)|_V \right) = 0.$$

□

2 Non-trivial cohomology classes

Let us now describe a natural basis of the above cohomology spaces (1.10).

2.1 Case $k = \ell$

Since $\text{Id} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_k)$ is $\text{Vect}(M)$ -invariant, $c \mapsto c \text{Id}$ maps any cocycle c to a cocycle and thus induces a homomorphism $H(\text{Vect}(M); C^\infty(M)) \rightarrow H(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_k))$. Theorem 1.2 states that it is an isomorphism in degree one.

Recall that $H(\text{Vect}(M); C^\infty(M))$ is well-known (see [7]). In particular, given a covariant derivation ∇ , the 1-cocycles are the maps

$$c_{a,\omega} : X \mapsto a \text{div}_\nabla(X) + i_X \omega, \quad (2.1)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and ω is a closed 1-form, div_∇ being the divergence associated to ∇ . The cocycle (2.1) is a coboundary if and only if $a = 0$ and ω is exact.

2.2 Case $k = \ell + 1$, $\ell \neq 0$

Consider the exact sequence of $\text{Vect}(M)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Dividing out by $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k-2}$ leads to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda^k / \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k-2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Assume $k \neq 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1/2$. The sequence (2.3) does not split [12]. Its cohomology class is a non-zero element in $H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1}))$ (see Appendix). This class admits a representative with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1})$, since the $\text{Vect}(M)$ -actions in (2.3) are differential. It thus defines a non-trivial class in $H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1}))$ which, by Theorem 1.2, is a basis of this space.

2.3 Case $k = \ell + 2$

If $\lambda = 1/2$, it is shown in [12] that the sequence (2.3) is split and that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{k-2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^k / \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^{k-3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^k / \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^{k-2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

is not. Moreover, the splitting of (2.3) is given by differential projectors. Since (2.3) is split, the class $[\mathcal{D}_{1/2}^{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^k]$ of (2.2) belongs to $H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^{k-2}))$. Since (2.4) is not split, its projection $\sigma_{k-2\sharp}[\mathcal{D}_{1/2}^{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{1/2}^k]$ is non-zero (see Lemma 6.2 from Appendix).

As in the previous case, this projection is easily seen to admit a representative with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-2})$. Hence, it provides a basis of $H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-2}))$.

Remark 2.1. In the above Subsections 5.1 and 2.3 we have associated non-trivial cohomology classes to the exact sequences (2.3) and (2.4). It is important to note that these classes are “natural” in the following sense. For any open subset $U \subset M$ their restrictions to U are precisely the classes associated to the same sequences upon U .

3 Projectively equivariant cohomology

Throughout this section we put $M \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n \geq 2$.

3.1 The Lie algebra of infinitesimal projective transformations

The main idea of our proof of Theorem 1.2 is to use the filtration with respect to the Lie subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.1)$$

It is suggested by the fact that the exact sequence (2.2) that generate our cohomology is split as a sequence of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -modules [12]. In some sense, this Lie subalgebra plays the same rôle in our approach as the linear subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ in the traditional one (cf. [7]).

Recall that the standard action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is generated by the vector fields

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad X_{ij} = x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad \bar{X}_i = x^i \mathcal{E}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \quad (3.3)$$

Observe in particular that X_i and X_{ij} generate an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.2 Computing the relative cohomology space

In this section we will compute the first space of the so-called relative cohomology of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. the cohomology of the complex of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -cochains vanishing on the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. We will prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *If $n \geq 2$, then*

$$H^1(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , \text{ if } k - \ell = 2 \\ \mathbb{R} & , \text{ if } k - \ell = 1, \ell \neq 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

3.3 Equivariance property

We begin the proof with a simple observation.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie subalgebra and V a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. If $c : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ is a 1-cocycle such that $c|_{\mathfrak{h}} \equiv 0$, then it is *equivariant* with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ i.e.

$$L_X(c(Y)) = c([X, Y]), \quad X \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (3.5)$$

where L stays for the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on the module V .

Consequently, our strategy to compute the space of relative cohomology (3.4) consists, first, in classifying the $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant linear maps $c : \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ and, second, to isolate among them the 1-cocycles.

3.4 Commutant of the affine Lie algebra

Consider the space of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x, \xi] = \mathbb{C}[x^1, \dots, x^n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ as a submodule of $\mathcal{S}$ under the action of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. We need to compute the *commutant* of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. the algebra of differential operators on $\mathbb{C}[x, \xi]$ commuting with the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -action.

The differential operators on $\mathbb{C}[x, \xi]$ given by

$$E = \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \quad (3.6)$$

commute with the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -action. Let us recall the classical result of the Weyl invariant theory (see [17]).

Proposition 3.2. *The algebra of differential operators on $\mathbb{C}[x, \xi]$ commuting with the action of the affine Lie algebra, is generated by E and D .*

We will call the operators (3.6) the Euler operator and the divergence operator respectively. The eigenspaces of E are obviously consist of homogeneous polynomials in ξ .

Corollary 3.3. *The operator $D^{k-\ell}$ is the unique (up to a constant) $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -equivariant differential operator from $\mathcal{S}_k$ to $\mathcal{S}_\ell$.*

Proof. Any differential operator on $\mathcal{S}_k$ is indeed determined by its values on the subspace $\mathbb{C}[x, \xi]$. $\square$

The Euler operator E is clearly equivariant with respect to the whole $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will need the commutation relations of the operator D with the quadratic generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $\bar{X}_i$ as in (3.2), one has*

$$[L_{\bar{X}_i}, D] = \left(2E + (n+1)\right) \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. : straightforward. $\square$

3.5 Bilinear $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -invariant operators

We also need to classify the bilinear $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ -invariant differential operators. For that purpose, let us use a natural identification

$$\mathbb{C}[x, \xi] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y, \eta] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, \xi, y, \eta]. \quad (3.8)$$

There are, obviously, four invariant differential operators $D_{(x, \xi)}, D_{(y, \eta)}$ (the divergence operators with respect to the first and the second arguments) and $D_{(x, \eta)}, D_{(y, \xi)}$ (the operators of contraction in terms of tensors). Applying again [17] one gets the following

Proposition 3.5. *Every bilinear differential operator*

$$\mathcal{S}_j \otimes \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell \quad (3.9)$$

invariant with respect to the action of the affine Lie algebra, is a homogeneous polynomial in $D_{(x, \xi)}, D_{(x, \eta)}, D_{(y, \xi)}$ and $D_{(y, \eta)}$ of degree $j + k - \ell$.

We are now ready to start the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.6 Bilinear $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant operators

In view of Section 3.3, we will now classify the $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant linear differential maps

$$c : \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell) \quad (3.10)$$

vanishing on the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We can, equivalently, consider the equivariant bilinear maps

$$C : \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{k-p}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $p = k - \ell$.

By Proposition 3.5, any such operator is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \sum_{s=0}^{p+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{s!(p-s+1)!} D_{(x, \eta)}^s D_{(y, \eta)}^{p-s+1} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\beta_s}{(s-1)!(p-s+1)!} D_{(x, \xi)} D_{(x, \eta)}^{s-1} D_{(y, \eta)}^{p-s+1} \right) \Big|_{\substack{y=x \\ \eta=\xi}} \\ & + \sum_{s=0}^p \frac{\gamma_s}{s!(p-s)!} D_{(y, \xi)} D_{(x, \eta)}^s D_{(y, \eta)}^{p-s} \Big|_{\substack{y=x \\ \eta=\xi}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\alpha_s, \beta_s, \gamma_s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover,

$$\alpha_s = \beta_s = \gamma_s = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s < 2, \quad (3.13)$$

since C vanishes on the affine subalgebra and

$$(k-p)\alpha_2 + (n+1)\beta_2 + (p-1)\gamma_2 = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

since C vanishes on the quadratic generators $\bar{X}_i$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$.

For $k = p$, we have not to take into account the coefficients α_s in the expression (3.12) because the corresponding terms vanish when applied to $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_k$.

It is quite easy, using (3.7) and analogous relations with the operators $D_{(x,\eta)}$, $D_{(y,\xi)}$ and $D_{(y,\eta)}$, to obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the coefficients in (3.12) for C to be equivariant. One gets the following recurrence relations:

$$(s-1)\alpha_{s+1} - (2k+n-p+s-1)\alpha_s - \gamma_s = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

$$(s-1)\beta_{s+1} - (2k+n-p+s-1)\beta_s - \gamma_s = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

$$(s-2)\gamma_s - (2k+n-p+s-1)\gamma_{s-1} = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

$$(k-p)\alpha_{s+1} + (n+1)\beta_{s+1} + (p-s)\gamma_{s+1} + (k-p+s)\gamma_s = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

where $2 \leq s \leq p$. (For $k = p$, equation (3.15) has not to be taken into account.)

Now, to solve the system (3.14-3.18), we need the following technical

Lemma 3.6. *If $\alpha_s, \beta_s, \gamma_c$ verify the equations (3.15-3.17) and (3.14), then $\alpha_s, \beta_s, \gamma_c$ verify the equation (3.18).*

(A similar result holds true when $k = p$.)

Proof. Check that for $s = 1$, the equation (3.18) coincides with (3.14), the result follows then by induction. $\square$

It is now very easy to get the complete solution of the system (3.14-3.17). One has the following four cases.

(a) For $p = 0$ and for $(p = 1, k = 1)$ there is no solution.

(b) For $(p = 1, k \geq 2)$ the system has a one-dimensional space of solutions spanned by

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2} D_{(x,\eta)}^2 + \frac{k-1}{n+1} D_{(x,\xi)} D_{(x,\eta)}, \quad (3.19)$$

which is, in fact, a just a solution of the equation (3.14).

Remark 3.7. One readily checks that the operator $c(X) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1})$ given by (3.19) coincides (up to a constant) with the operator of contraction with the tensor field

$$c_1(X) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (X^\ell) + \frac{2}{n+1} \delta_j^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} (X^s) \right) dx^i dx^j \otimes \xi_\ell \quad (3.20)$$

This expression is obviously a 1-cocycle. The expression (3.20) is known in the literature as the Lie derivative of a flat *projective connection* (cf., e.g., [8]).

(c) For $p \geq 2, k > p$, the system (3.15-3.17) under the condition (3.14), has a two-dimensional space of solutions parametrized by (α_2, β_2) .

(d) For $p = k \geq 2$, the equation (3.15) should be discarded. The space of solutions is again one-dimensional.

3.7 Projectively invariant cocycles

We will now determine which of the $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant maps (3.10) classified in the preceding section are 1-cocycles. Let us examine separately the cases (b)-(d).

(b) In the simplest case, $p = 1$, one easily checks that the unique $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant map (3.19), indeed, defines a 1-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}^0(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1})$.

(c) The cocycle relation adds the equation $\beta_3 = 2\beta_2$ to the general system (3.15-3.17).

In the case $p = 2$, one checks by a straightforward computation, that the solutions are the constant multiples of the solution given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2 &= 2, \\ \alpha_3 &= 2k + n + 1, \\ \beta_2 &= 1, \\ \beta_3 &= 2, \\ \delta_2 &= -(2k + n - 3).\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

In the case $p > 2$, the only solution of the system (3.15-3.17) together with the equation $\beta_3 = 2\beta_2$ is zero.

(d) If $k = p$, then the non-trivial solutions of the system are cocycles if and only if $k = p = 2$. This cocycle is precisely of the form (3.21) disregarding α_2 and α_3 .

Proposition 3.8. *The 1-cocycles on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by the formulæ (3.19) and (3.21) are non-trivial.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Sections 5.1, 2.3 and the fact that the sequence (2.2) is split when restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, see [12]. Let us also give an elementary proof.

Recall that a 1-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ is a coboundary if it is of the form $X \mapsto [L_X, B]$ for some $B \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$. Moreover, the 1-cocycle vanishes on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ if and only if B is $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.

Lemma 3.9. *(cf. [9]). If $k \neq \ell$, there is no $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant operators $B \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ different from zero.*

Proof. In virtue of Corollary 3.3, the property of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariance implies, in particular, that B has to be proportional to $D^{k-\ell}$. Now, the commutation relation (3.7) shows that this operator can never be $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant. $\square$

Proposition 3.8 follows. $\square$

3.8 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We have shown that there exist unique (up to a constant) 1-cocycles c_1 and c_2 on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-2})$ respectively, vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. These cocycles define non-trivial classes of relative cohomology.

Theorem 3.1 is proven.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Using the filtration with respect to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, we will first prove Theorem 1.2 in the case when M is a vector space and then extend it to an arbitrary manifold. To that end, we need some more information about the cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$.

4.1 Cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$

The cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ has been computed in [9].

Theorem 4.1. *The space of cohomology $H(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell))$ is trivial for $k \neq \ell$, for $k = \ell$ it is isomorphic to the Grassman algebra of invariant functionals on $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$:*

$$H(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)) = \left(\bigwedge \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})^* \right)^{\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})} \quad (4.1)$$

In particular,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & k = \ell \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

and the class of the 1-cocycle $X \mapsto \operatorname{div}(X)\operatorname{Id}$ spans that space in the case $k = \ell$. (In fact, it corresponds to the invariant function $\operatorname{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.) Note that this cocycle is just the restriction to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ of the cocycle $c_{1,0}$, see (2.1).

4.2 The case of $\mathbb{R}^n$

The restriction of a 1-cocycle $c : \operatorname{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is a 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. If $k \neq \ell$, then this restriction is trivial and, therefore, c is cohomological to a 1-cocycle on $\operatorname{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$; if $k = \ell$, then the restriction of c to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is cohomological to $c_{1,0}$ and so $c - c_{1,0}$ is, again, cohomological to a 1-cocycle on $\operatorname{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. The result then follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 1.2 is proven for the special case $M = \mathbb{R}^n$.

4.3 The general case

Let us now prove Theorem 1.2 for an arbitrary manifold M . Consider a 1-cocycle c on $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$.

(a) If $k - \ell \neq 0, 1, 2$, then in any domain of chart $U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, the restriction $c|_U$ is a coboundary, that is $c(X)|_U = L_X(S_U)$, where $S_U \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ is some operator on U . But, on $U \cap V$, one has $c(X)|_{U \cap V} = L_X(S_U) = L_X(S_V)$ and so the operator $S_U - S_V$ is invariant. Lemma 3.9 implies $S_U - S_V = 0$. Therefore, the S_U 's are the restrictions of some globally defined $S \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell)$ and c is its coboundary.

(b) If $k - \ell = 1$ or 2 , it follows from Theorem 1.2 for $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ that the class of $c|_U$ is determined up to a constant. In view of Remark 2.1, one has thus

$$c|_U = \alpha_U \gamma|_U + L_X(S_U), \quad (4.3)$$

for some $\alpha_U \in \mathbb{R}$ and S_U as above, where γ is a representative of one of the classes associated to the sequences (2.3) and (2.4) respectively. On $U \cap V$ one obviously has $\alpha_U = \alpha_V$ and $S_U = S_V$ since

$$(\alpha_U - \alpha_V) \gamma|_{U \cap V} = \partial(S_U - S_V),$$

$\gamma|_{U \cap V}$ is non-trivial and, as above, $S_U - S_V$ is invariant.

(c) If $k - \ell = 0$, one has

$$c|_U = \alpha_U c_{1,0}|_U + L_X(S_U). \quad (4.4)$$

Once again, $\alpha_U = \alpha_V (= a)$ and $S_U - S_V$ is invariant, but any invariant operator in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_k)$ is proportional to the identity so that $S_U - S_V = \beta_{UV} \text{Id}$, where β_{UV} is a constant. It is clear that the β_{UV} 's define a Čech 1-cocycle. If now ω is a closed 1-form representing the corresponding de Rham class, one easily sees that c is cohomologous to $c_{a,\omega}$.

Theorem 1.2 is proven.

5 Cocycles associated to a connection

Using a torsion free covariant derivation ∇ , it is possible to construct globally defined cocycles spanning $H^1(\text{Vect}(M); \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_\ell))$ for $k - \ell = 1, 2$.

5.1 Lie derivative of a connection

For each vector field X , the Lie derivative

$$L_X(\nabla) : (Y, Z) \mapsto [X, \nabla_Y Z] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z - \nabla_Y [X, Z]$$

of ∇ is well-known to be a symmetric $(1, 2)$ -tensor field. It yields a non-trivial 1-cocycle

$$X \mapsto L_X(\nabla)$$

on $\text{Vect}(M)$ with values in $\Gamma(\otimes_2^1 TM)$. Therefore, for $k \geq 2$, the contraction

$$\gamma_1^\nabla(X)(P) = \langle P, L_X(\nabla) \rangle, \quad P \in \mathcal{S}_k, \quad (5.1)$$

defines a 1-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(M)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}^0(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-1})$.

5.2 Second-order cohomology class and the Vey cocycle

The last case, $\ell = k - 2$, is directly related to deformation quantization.

For any symplectic manifold V , there exists a non-zero class in $H^2(C^\infty(V); C^\infty(V))$. It is given by so-called *Vey cocycle* usually denoted S_Γ^3 (see [2] and [16] for explicit construction using a connection Γ on V).

In the particular, if $V = T^*M$ one can choose the connection so that S_Γ^3 is homogeneous of weight -3 , namely, restricted to $\mathcal{S} \subset C^\infty(T^*M)$,

$$S_\Gamma^3 : \mathcal{S}_k \otimes \mathcal{S}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{k+\ell-3}, \quad (5.2)$$

see [3] (e.g. choosing Γ as a lift of ∇ to T^*M). It follows easily from (5.2) that the map $\text{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_{k-2})$ defined by

$$\gamma_2^\nabla(X)(P) = S_\Gamma^3(X, P), \quad P \in \mathcal{S}_k. \quad (5.3)$$

is a 1-cocycle.

6 Appendix: approximations of the class of a short exact sequence of modules

6.1 Class of a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

We will need some general information about short exact sequences of filtered modules.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. Consider an exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} C \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.1)$$

It is characterized by an element of $H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}(C, A))$ (cf. [7], Sec. 1.4.5). It will be convenient to denote it $[A, B]$. Recall that if $\tau : C \rightarrow B$ is a section of j , then $[A, B]$ is the class of the 1-cocycle $\gamma^\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, A)$ given by

$$\gamma^\tau(X)(T) = i^{-1}(X.\tau(T) - \tau(X.T)), \quad (6.2)$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $T \in C$ (this expression is well defined since $X.\tau(T) - \tau(X.T) \in \ker j$).

Given a submodule V of A , one has the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & V & & & & \\ & & \downarrow i_V & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi_A & & \downarrow \pi_B & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A/V & \longrightarrow & B/V & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array} \quad (6.3)$$

where i_V is the injection of V into A and π_A, π_B are the projections.

One has the relation $[A/V, B/V] = \pi_{A\#}[A, B]$. Moreover, the left vertical of (6.3) leads to the exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}(C, V)) & & \\ \Delta \uparrow & \searrow i_{V\#} & H(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}(C, A)) \\ H(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}(C, A/V)) & \swarrow \pi_{A\#} & \end{array} \quad (6.4)$$

where Δ is the connecting homomorphism. One easily obtains the following

Proposition 6.1.

- (i) The class $[A/V, B/V]$ vanishes if and only if $[A, B] \in \text{im } i_V^\#$.
- (ii) If $[A/V, B/V] = 0$ then the class of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{i \circ i_V} B \longrightarrow B/V \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.5)$$

is $[V, B] = [V, A] + [A, B]$ and vanishes if and only if $[V, A] = [A, B] = 0$.

6.2 Case of a filtered module

Consider now a flag of filtered $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_r \subset \cdots$ and put $S_r = A_r/A_{r-1}$. Let us study the classes $[A_r, A_{r+1}]$ of the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow A_r \longrightarrow A_{r+1} \longrightarrow S_{r+1} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (6.6)$$

The quotient by $V = A_{r-1}$, leads to its “first approximation”:

$$0 \longrightarrow S_r \longrightarrow A_{r+1}/A_{r-1} \longrightarrow S_{r+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.7)$$

If the sequence (6.7) is split, then $[A_r, A_{r+1}] \in H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}(S_{r+1}, A_{r-1}))$ and so

$$[A_{r-1}, A_{r+1}] = [A_{r-1}, A_r] + [A_r, A_{r+1}] \quad (6.8)$$

by Proposition 6.1.

The next approximation is a result of the quotient by A_{r-2} . Let $\pi_r : A_r \rightarrow A_r/A_{r-1}$ be the projection to the quotient-module.

Lemma 6.2. *If the sequence (6.7) is split for all $r > 0$, but the sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow S_{r-1} \longrightarrow A_{r+1}/A_{r-2} \longrightarrow A_{r+1}/A_{r-1} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (6.9)$$

for $r > 1$ are not split, then the class $\pi_{r-1}^\#[A_r, A_{r+1}]$ does not vanish.

Proof. Since the sequence (6.7) is split, one has $\pi_{r-1}^\#[A_{r-1}, A_r] = 0$. If in addition $\pi_{r-1}^\#[A_r, A_{r+1}] = 0$, then by Proposition 6.1

$$[A_{r-1}/A_{r-2}, A_{r+1}/A_{r-2}] = \pi_{r-1}^\#[A_{r-1}, A_{r+1}] = \pi_{r-1}^\#([A_{r-1}, A_r] + [A_r, A_{r+1}]) = 0$$

and the sequence (6.9) is split. □

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to acknowledge numerous fruitful discussions with C. Duval. We are also thankful to M. De Wilde, V. Fock and C. Roger for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Bouarroudj & V. Ovsienko, *Three cocycles on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ generalizing the Schwarzian derivative*, IMRN **1998**, No.1, 25–39.
- [2] M. De Wilde & P. Lecomte, *Cohomologie 3-différentiable de l’algèbre de Poisson d’une variété symplectique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **33:4** (1983) 83–94.
- [3] M. De Wilde & P. Lecomte, *Star-products on cotangent bundles*, Lett. in Math. Physics, **7** (1983) 235–241.
- [4] C. Duval & V. Ovsienko, *Space of second order linear differential operators as a module over the Lie algebra of vector fields*, Adv. in Math. **132: 2** (1997), 316–333.
- [5] C. Duval, P. Lecomte & V. Ovsienko, *Conformally equivariant quantization: Existence and uniqueness*. math.DG/9902032
- [6] B. L. Feigin & D. B. Fuchs, *Homology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line*, Func. Anal. Appl., 14 (1980), 201–212.
- [7] D. B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1987.
- [8] S. Kobayashi & C. Horst, *Topics in complex differential geometry*, in: Complex Differential Geometry, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1983, 4–66.
- [9] P. Lecomte, *On the cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$ acting on differential operators and $\mathfrak{sl}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant symbol*, Preprint Université de Liège, 1998.
- [10] P. Lecomte, P. Mathonet & E. Tousset, *Comparison of some modules of the Lie algebra of vector fields*, Indag. Mathem., **7:4** (1996), 461–471.
- [11] P. Lecomte & V. Ovsienko, *Projectively invariant symbol map and cohomology of vector fields Lie algebras intervening in quantization*, dg-ga/9611006.
- [12] P. Lecomte & V. Ovsienko, *Projectively invariant symbol calculus*, math.DG/9809061.
- [13] A. Nijenhuis & R.W. Richardson, *Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie algebras*, Bull. AMS **73** (1967) 175–179.
- [14] J. Peetre, *Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 211–218 and **8** (1960), 116–120.
- [15] R.W. Richardson, *Deformations of subalgebras of Lie algebras*, J. Diff. Geom. **3** (1969) 289–308.
- [16] C. Roger, *Déformations algébriques et applications la physique*, Gaz. Math. 1991, No. 49, 75–94.
- [17] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, Princeton University Press, 1946.